



## TOW VEHICLE OF THE YEAR – THE RESULTS

### A. EXTERIOR

	Ford2.2	Ford3.2	Foton	GWM	Isuzu	Maz2.2	Maz3.2	NP300	Navara	Triton	Hilux	VW
Perceived build quality (exterior)	27	24	20	16.5	27.5	23.5	25.5	21.5	25	27	27	29.5
Overall styling	28	29	20.5	17.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	17	25.5	20	23.5	30
<b>Sub totals (from max 80)</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>40.5</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>50.5</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>50.5</b>	<b>59.5</b>
<b>Percentage achieved</b>	<b>68.8%</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>50.6%</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>63.1%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>	<b>63.1%</b>	<b>74.4%</b>

Exterior = 10%

### B. INTERIOR

	Ford2.2	Ford3.2	Foton	GWM	Isuzu	Maz2.2	Maz3.2	NP300	Navara	Triton	Hilux	VW
Overall appeal in this segment	23.5	29	19	14.5	21.5	25.5	27.5	17.5	25.5	21	27	32
Perceived build quality	28	28	18.5	15	25	26.5	27.5	20	25.5	24	27.5	32
Practicality & versatility	27	28.5	23.5	19	22.5	27.5	26.5	16.5	25.5	24.5	24.5	29
Space (headroom, legroom, etc)	30	31.5	21	17.5	24	30	30.5	17	28	26.5	25	31.5
Storage space, general	26	28	21	18	21	24.5	25.5	14	23.5	21.5	22.5	30
Ease of use of controls	26.5	28	23.5	18	23.5	28.5	29	18	25	24.5	27	28
Standard safety kit	27	31	18	17	20.5	26	29	17.5	20	21.5	25	26.5
Sound system	27.5	29.5	19.5	17.5	23.5	23	25	21	21.5	22.5	26	25.5
Front seat accommodation/comfort	33.5	34.5	23	21	22	29	30.5	21	27.5	25.5	25.5	31.5
Back seat accommodation/comfort	28	28.5	21.5	14.5	22.5	28.5	28.5	11.5	20.5	25	22.5	29
Air-conditioning system	24.5	27	18.5	17.5	21.5	26	24.5	20.5	23	22.5	23.5	28
Ease of getting in and out	27	27.5	21.5	20.5	22	26	26.5	15	26	27	24	30
<b>Sub totals (from max 480)</b>	<b>328.5</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>248.5</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>269.5</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>330.5</b>	<b>209.5</b>	<b>291.5</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>353</b>
<b>Percentage achieved</b>	<b>68.4%</b>	<b>73.1%</b>	<b>51.8%</b>	<b>43.8%</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>66.9%</b>	<b>68.9%</b>	<b>43.6%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>

Interior = 10%

### C. ON THE ROAD – TAR

	Ford2.2	Ford3.2	Foton	GWM	Isuzu	Maz2.2	Maz3.2	NP300	Navara	Triton	Hilux	VW
Comfort	27	29.5	18.5	19	25.5	27	28.5	17.5	25.5	23.5	22.5	33
Handling (corners)	27.5	30	17.5	19	27	27.5	28.5	23.5	23.5	27	24	33
Stability	28.5	29.5	18.5	18	26.5	28	25.5	21.5	23.5	24	23.5	32.5
Engine torque	24	34	17.5	15	26	24	33	15.5	24.5	22.5	25.5	33
Engine power	24.5	33.5	19	11.5	26	24.5	32	13.5	21.5	18	24.5	33
Engine refinement	23	28	17	12.5	23	23.5	27	16.5	19.5	21.5	22	31

Gearbox driveability	26.5	27	12	16.5	29	23.5	28	22.5	26.5	22	24	29.5
Gearing suitability	24.5	31	14	17	28.5	24.5	29.5	20	28.5	21.5	25	30.5
Overtaking ability	21.5	31.5	15	13.5	24	22	31	13.5	24	21	21.5	32
Steering	23.5	27.5	19.5	18.5	27	23.5	25.5	20	25.5	22.5	23.5	31
Braking performance	24	27	21.5	21.5	24.5	25.5	26.5	23	24.5	27	24.5	29.5
Visibility (from vehicle)	25.5	26.5	23	23	27.5	26	26.5	24	28.5	25.5	27.5	31
Confidence factor on road	25.5	31	17.5	15.5	27.5	28	30.5	19.5	25.5	27	26.5	32.5
<b>Sub totals (from max 520)</b>	<b>325.5</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>230.5</b>	<b>220.5</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>327.5</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>250.5</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>314.5</b>	<b>411.5</b>
<b>Percentage achieved</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>44.3%</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>65.8%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>71.5%</b>	<b>48.2%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>60.5%</b>	<b>79.1%</b>

**ON THE ROAD = 75%**

#### VALUE FOR MONEY

	Ford2.2	Ford3.2	Foton	GWM	Isuzu	Maz2.2	Maz3.2	NP300	Navara	Triton	Hilux	VW
Features per rand	24.5	26	17.5	20.5	24	25	25.5	18	22.5	22	23	30.5
Overall value for money	24.5	21.5	14.5	21.5	24	24.5	23	16	23	21.5	22	27.5
<b>Sub totals (from max 80)</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>47.5</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>49.5</b>	<b>48.5</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45.5</b>	<b>43.5</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Percentage achieved</b>	<b>61.2%</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>52.5%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>54.4%</b>	<b>56.3%</b>	<b>72.5%</b>

**Value for money = 5%**

#### FINAL RESULTS (AS PER WEIGHTING SYSTEM)

	Ford2.2	Ford3.2	Foton	GWM	Isuzu	Maz2.2	Maz3.2	NP300	Navara	Triton	Hilux	VW
<b>EXTERIOR (10%)</b>	6.88	6.62	5.06	4.25	6.13	5.63	5.88	4.81	6.31	5.88	6.31	7.44
<b>INTERIOR (10%)</b>	6.84	7.31	5.18	4.38	5.61	6.69	6.89	4.36	6.07	5.96	6.25	7.35
<b>ON THE ROAD (75%)</b>	46.95	55.65	33.22	31.8	49.35	47.25	53.62	36.15	46.27	43.72	45.37	59.32
<b>VALUE FOR MONEY (5%)</b>	3.06	2.97	2	2.62	3	3.09	3.03	2.12	2.84	2.72	2.81	3.62
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>	<b>63.73</b>	<b>72.55</b>	<b>45.46</b>	<b>43.05</b>	<b>64.09</b>	<b>62.66</b>	<b>69.42</b>	<b>47.44</b>	<b>61.49</b>	<b>58.28</b>	<b>60.74</b>	<b>77.73</b>

#### FINAL RANKINGS

VW Amarok 2.0BiTDI	<b>77.73%</b>
Ford Ranger 3.2	<b>72.55%</b>
Mazda BT50 3.2	<b>69.42%</b>
Isuzu KB 300 D-Teq	<b>64.09%</b>
Ford Ranger 2.2	<b>63.73%</b>
Mazda BT50 2.2	<b>62.66%</b>
Nissan Navara 2.5dCi	<b>61.49%</b>
Toyota Hilux 3.0D4-D	<b>60.74%</b>
Mitsubishi Triton 2.5 Di-D	<b>58.28%</b>
Nissan NP300 2.5dCi	<b>47.44%</b>
Foton Tunland 2.8TDI	<b>45.46%</b>
GWM Steed 5	<b>43.05%</b>